Appl. No. 10/017,611 Response to Final Office Action Attorney Docket No. 31132.72 / PC904.04 Customer No. 46333

#### III. Remarks

### A. Status of the Application

Claims 1-22 will be pending after entry of the present paper. Claims 1-22 were previously pending. No claims are added, canceled, or amended by the present paper. Reconsideration of the application in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

### **B.** Request for Interview

As an initial matter, Applicants would like to formally request an interview with the Examiner to discuss the objections to the Oath/Declaration in light of the Supplemental Declaration that was submitted with the last response. If an interview has not already been scheduled upon receipt of the present response, Applicants request that the Examiner contact Applicants' representative Greg Webb at 972-739-8641 to set up the telephone interview.

## C. Oath/Declaration

Applicants submitted a Supplemental Declaration for Reissuc Patent Application to Correct "Errors" Statement (37 CFR 1.175) executed by inventors Vincent Bryan and Alex Kunzler as requested by the Examiner in response to the previous Office Action. In that regard, the Final Office Action notes that "Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251." The Final Office Action also notes that Applicants did not submit a PTO/SB/51 form with the Supplemental Declaration. Accordingly, Applicants would like clarification regarding whether (1) only the executed PTO/SB/51 form needs to be submitted or (2) another Supplemental Declaration and PTO/SB/51 form need to be submitted. This is the reason for Applicants' interview request.

### D. Claims

Claims 1-22 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue application under 35 U.S.C. § 251. As noted above, once it is clarified what documentation is still needed, Applicants will provide

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR (1 9 2010

Appl. No. 10/017,611 Response to Final Office Action Attorney Docket No. 31132.72 / PC904.04 Customer No. 46333

the necessary executed documents to the Examiner in order to satisfy all of the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 251. Applicants have rewritten the claims to be in the appropriate format, with changes being shown relative to the originally issued claims. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 1-22 are in condition for allowance.

# IV. Conclusion

It is believed that all matters set forth in the Office Action have been addressed and that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, an indication of allowability is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner deem that an interview with Applicants' undersigned attorney would further prosecution, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory P. Webb

Registration No. 59,859

Dated: 4-9-10
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
Customer No. 46333

Telephone: 972/739-8641 Facsimile: 214/200-0853

R-249845 1.DOC

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being file with the United States Patent and Trademark Office via EFS-Web on

Caula Conner

8